UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/595,154	03/07/2006	Eberhard Kull	071308.0684	3421
31625 BAKER BOTT	7590 01/10/2007 <b>CS</b> L.L.P.		EXAMINER	
PATENT DEP	ARTMENT		HUFTY, JOHN PAGE	
98 SAN JACINTO BLVD., SUITE 1500 AUSTIN, TX 78701-4039			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		* .	3747	
				·
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MC	ONTHS	01/10/2007	PAI	PER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

·		\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/595,154	KULL, EBERHARD					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	John P. Hufty	3747					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS, cause the application to become ABAND	TION.  be timely filed  from the mailing date of this communication.  ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status		·					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	I, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.	·— · · · <del></del>						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	- alastian requirement						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) $\boxtimes$ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 March 2006</u> is/are:							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · · ·						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	In here have received						
	<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ol>						
3. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document							
application from the International Burea							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		mary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		ail Date mal Patent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8 March 2006</u> .	6) Other:	• •					

Application/Control Number: 10/595,154

Art Unit: 3747

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 - 7, 9 - 16, 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hoffmann, U. S. Patent 4,296,887 in view Takeuchi U. S. Patent 4,566,316. Hoffman teaches a sleeve which houses and protects an injection nozzle (fig 1, feature 20) resting against a cylinder head (feature 17), having a lower end assigned to the combustion chamber with an upper end having an annular flange (feature 21, claim 1) a sealing ring (feature 23; claim 3) the sleeve "guided to the edge area of the hole" (fig 1), constructed for heat protection or "making contamination more difficult" (column 1, line 5-10; claim 1).

Hoffmann lacks a piezoelectric pressure sensor positioned between the sleeve and seal. However the use of these pressure sensors mounted in a cylinder head is well known in the art (see Takeuchi). Takeuchi teaches the use of this type of sensor for the purpose of measuring pressure (column 1, line 5-8).

A person of ordinary skill in the art of fuel injection systems has an undergraduate degree in mechanical engineering or the equivalent from on the job experience, additionally this person is well aware of the need for combustion regulation, sealing concerns and available options for achieving these goals.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/595,154

. \_ . \_

Art Unit: 3747

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine the sensor of Takeuchi with the sleeve of Hoffmann for the purpose of measuring cylinder pressure.

Claims 8 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hoffman and Takeuchi as applied to claims 1 and 10 above, and further in view of Zabeck et al U. S. Patent 5,226,397. To the extent that Hoffman does not expressly teach a friction reducing coating Zabeck teaches the use of a friction reducing coating in contact areas for actuation (column 5, line 47 –57).

Therefore it would have been obvious to person of ordinary skill in the art as described above at the time of invention to combine the teachings of Zabeck with Hoffman and Takeuchi for ease of actuation of the sleeve.

Application/Control Number: 10/595,154

Art Unit: 3747

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John P. Hufty whose telephone number is 571-272-9966. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 am - 5:00pm, Mon- Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen K. Cronin can be reached on 571-272-4536. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

**JPH** 

B. Jose Huff

STEPHEN K. CRONIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER